# **SCHEDULE**

Dates	
May 29, 2018	Public Meeting #1 Project understanding, vision
June -July 2018	Public Online Survey & Design coordination
August 2018	Public Meeting #2 Review of options and design ideas
September 2018	Schematic design complete
October 2018 – March 2019	Design
Early 2019	Bond approval
Spring/Summer 2019	Permits and Bidding
Summer/ Fall 2019	Construction begins





### **PUBLIC MEETING REVIEW**

#### WHAT WE HEARD

- Strong community interest in the project and the pool
- Over 100 attendees
- Interest in the pool being used by community members of all ages, being affordable.
- Interest in possible partial or fully enclosed pool
- Good discussion on pool feature options
- Overall importance of Park was emphasized









### **PUBLIC MEETING REVIEW**

#### SITE LAYOUT COMMENTS

- Importance of Park and connections to the park
- Find solution that least encroaches on the fields
- Interest in Pool's connection to the park
- Bath house closer to the fields to the north
- Consider acoustics in the design
- Quick drop off / pick up location is needed












### **CONCEPT SKETCH**







### SITE DESIGN

#### STEERING COMMITTEE COMMENTS

- Site arrangement OK but design needs to preserve maximum amount of park area
- More community center to west and reduce size of on-site parking
- Plan for possible head-in angled parking along Electron Way. OK if it's necessary to relocate curb and sidewalk for future planning
- Provide drop-off along Contra Costa or Electron Way
- Look at options for soccer fields
  - Like combined basketball/parking








12 PARKING

### **BATHHOUSE DESIGN**



#### STEERING COMMITTEE COMMENTS

- Combined Pool and Park use of locker/toilet/shower areas not safe and hard to control
- Provide designated toilet facilities for the field, similar to original study
- Consider design of the party room so that it can work as a concessions stand





# PUBLIC MEETING REVIEW

#### POOL COMMENTS SUMMARY

- Variety of ages and activities
- Lap swim, competitions, swim lessons were very important
- Toddler play, wading pool, and possible separate pool option
- Aerobics and water slide were also desired features



Feature	Dot Votes	Feature	Dot Votes
Water Slides	16	Competition and Laps	37
Diving Board(s)	13	Climbing Wall	2
Aerobics	11	Scuba and Safety Training	1
Swim Lessons	36	Kīd Play	4
Vortex and Current Channel	13	Toddler Play	24
Starting Blocks	5	Toddler Toys	0







CASCADE




MEDIUM SLIDE



DUMPING BUCKET



WATER AEROBICS



DROP SLIDE



LARGE SLIDE TOWER



LG. PLAY STRUCTURE



SPRAY FEATURES



CLIMBING WALL



BASKETBALL



VORTEX/LAZY RIVER



SMALL SLIDES



DIVING BOARD




WATERFALL









### OPTION I







### **OPTION 2**



# STEERING COMMITTEE COMMENTS

- Advance Option 3
- Advance Option 4
- Vortex gets more multi-age use than a slide, and takes less space than lazy river or channel
- OK to have diving at deep end, but move board so that more lanes are available during open swim
- Single tank costs less but water temperature is compromised
- Two tanks cost more but desired water temperatures maintained
- Kids 7 and under swim for free, so free use of wading pool area not an issue, plus lifeguarding provided







## **NEXT STEPS**

Dates	
May 29, 2018	Public Meeting #1 Project understanding, vision
June -July 2018	Public Online Survey & Design coordination
August 2018	Public Meeting #2 Review of options and design ideas
September 2018	Schematic design complete
October 2018 – March 2019	Design
Early 2019	Bond approval
Spring/Summer 2019	Permits and Bidding
Summer/ Fall 2019	Construction begins



